

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,035	02/28/2005	Renata Ulbrich-Hofmann	NY-HUBR-1276-US	4767
	7590 03/30/2007 & JAWORSKI, LLP	•	EXAMINER	
666 FIFTH AVE			HANLEY, SUSAN MARIE	
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/526,035	ULBRICH-HOFMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan Hanley	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	and date of this communication, even					
<u>_</u>	A					
<u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 August 2005</u> .					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Address of (a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intentiew	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	per No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/28/05.	5)	Informal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-8, drawn to a protein fraction.

Group II, claim(s) 9-11, drawn to a method for hydrolyzing or transphosphatidylating phospholipids.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

A "special technical feature" is defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as "those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art." In the instant case, as discussed below, a number of the claimed inventions fail to make any contribution over the prior art. Because a number of the claimed inventions fail to make any contribution over the prior art, the claims as filed fail to contain a single common special technical feature supporting a showing unity of invention.

Specifically, as demonstrated by Bezakova et al. (Chem. Phys. Lipids, (September, 2000) Vol. 107, No. 1, pp. 22. 41st International Conference on the Biochemistry of Lipids. Halle, Germany. September 13-16, 2000), cited in the International Search report for PCT/EP03/09625, which is part of the record for the instant application) discloses a protein fraction from the claimed plant source having two subfractions of which one has hydrolytic activity and is activate by Zn ions. Because this claimed embodiments fail to make a contribution over the prior art, it is clear that all claims as filed fail to provide a special technical feature common to all claimed inventions. Because the claims as filed lack a common special technical feature, the claims lack unity.

Lack of a common special technical feature, and therefore lack of unity, is further demonstrated by the fact that the various products claimed, compounds having a multitude of possible substituents combinations, all have vastly different properties. Moreover, because the various processes recited in the claims recite different process steps, it is clear that the process claim also lack a single common special technical feature, and therefore also lack unity.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Hanley whose telephone number is 571-272-2508. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/526,035

Art Unit: 1651

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Susan Hanley Patent Examiner AU 1651 Leon B. Larkford, Jr. Primary Examiner